HUNTIA

A YEARBOOK OF BOTANICAL AND HORTICULTURAL BIBLIOGRAPHY

VOLUME 1

15 APRIL 1964

THE HUNT BOTANICAL LIBRARY

CARNEGIE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

PITTSBURGH PENNSYLVANIA

HUNTIA

Copyright © 1964, by The Hunt Botanical Library

Huntia is a yearbook devoted to studies of the literature on systematic botany and horticulture, botanical voyages and explorations, early agriculture, medical botany, and the related subjects of botanical biography, iconography, and bibliography.

While huntia serves primarily as a record of investigations at The Hunt Botanical Library, its pages are open also to papers from scholars of other institutions.

Editor: George H. M. Lawrence Director, The Hunt Botanical Library

Subscription price: \$7.50 (US) a volume.

Communications regarding subscriptions, exchanges and contributions should be addressed to the Editor, Hunt Botanical Library, Carnegie Institute of Technology, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213.

the state of the s

Marit G. Doter in possite life it bird Devember Desirges. Consultation the every show for florened C. Desirant.

Recourse C. Perceret. Perfector of Oriental Languages and Contrarts of the Dagartmann, University of California, Liu Angeles, but studied outcodealy in China, Forecom, and elegations in the Orients Responding of contrarects papers and record books on the Chinase Burnstone, antiquing, and habburgaley.

Without T. Stander, and stone a serior stat manner to the Royal Mortenius of Standard Standard and Mortenius of the Manner Rota and stone and standard Mortenius (Manner) Mortenius (Manner) Mortenius of Mortenius (Manner) Manner Rota and Mortenius and Mortenius of Mortenius everywhere the his echeducity resembles the a non-time historical backgrowants of their Mortenius. Attitudents produced to the standard formation, and more are supported to the contract of the standard formation and more than severy and the standard formation of the standard between these vertically more law are as the converse and biologogaphy.

College Libraring Adds William III) over Justin a paraceta

A letter by Carl Linnaeus to von Haller

Among some letters written by eighteenth century botanists, acquired by Mrs. Roy A. Hunt in 1961, is one of two and one-half pages by the Swedish naturalist and physician, Carl Linnaeus (1707-1771), addressed to his Swiss counterpart (then at Göttingen) Albrecht von Haller (1708-1777), and dated 13 September 1748. It is one of the more important letters written by Linnaeus, of whose correspondence relatively few originals are in this country. Hence, its presence in this collection merits report.

The greater part of the Latin text of the letter was published by von Haller, in one of his last efforts to discredit Linnaeus, in his rare *Epistolarum* ab eruditis viris ad A. H. scriptarum (Bernae, 1773-1775), and in English translation by James Edward Smith in his A selection of the correspondence of Linnaeus . . . (London, 1821. Vol. 2, pp. 425-430). The first page and the closing date-line

are reproduced here in facsimile (Fig. 22).

The correspondence between Linnaeus and von Haller was rather extensive during the earlier years of their careers. Smith (1821) provides us with English translations of this exchange, comprising 27 letters by Linnaeus and 29 by von Haller, written in the period of April 1737 and September 1749. The letters are relatively free of criticism and controversy until von Haller wrote on 8 April 1746, in great agitation, objecting to Linnaeus' criticisms in his *Flora svecica* (1745) of many of von Haller's taxonomic opinions. From this time onwards, with one or two efforts towards congeniality, the friendship began to deteriorate until von Haller could seemingly no longer tolerate Linnaeus' position of eminence and published (with many deletions) his file of Linnaean correspondence.

Von Haller, reported by his contemporaries to have been a volatile and unpredictable egoist, is today considered by some science historians to have been equally as brilliant a scientist as was Linnaeus. Had his period of activity not so closely paralleled that of Linnaeus, and not been so strongly overshadowed by the Swede, the Swiss savant might well have been recognized in his day for the genius that he was. When it comes to the taxonomic

Illufri DD. Hallero C. Linnaup Sen In Mifam Lobert frainging hear, refertage condere ed amicilia frama, dene colo, hane asserio el magni facio. Ludged vely de meig dicere, potenje ommino, inde miki nikl record, modo Tuam habean amintiam noto ele inimica cum ullo, multo mini cum leve in ale el antiquo amico. Si potery me oudire al amilian condidum en puderem que defe perof at apologis. been Nambergero et alig, non af ille the par que nhum ille te inferior af, hartam ille auchit de fana que dies lateret paucies notes, mise suis proximis troform freudal. Doch wing named best menor from May often and not distributed and apolition public remaining promites, produced a constituence in augmentant the probant maggi quan content of contributes in augmentant since himmer plummer pay hominum judical, good non intelligit. wie quemoso reges belle genert victory evident com mina planim million peter Sillening a parcy fail amating ob liters arm Rivaro lived witten Dillering a party of sein multime; et fe hor dolonge mile yile namous. to vera nother fant and folfor enal talia lices nother per utan defendiment; profi fota notion puesi que toune ladouter plate; noth fieding and orger, numb, Handeyeren perfected to valide witer evaluped in re coronea. perpende untiquiorum conhorespice in ye herbona; legai nonne nauseam partent imulti legnal apole que com oblecta mento, sed mung amost personan airen. D'homest et ndent. The suit placeas menen of theatern will aming fredere. Non debet imperator ning die better continuer. cogil hoften fine I as que yet our constant; immifeation fine and proling for facile accident ut translengery somewhat mice in special the faction comina; forte amparet que name men intelled, in spriby forte carey, ago has this dip ut arrivery from fortigion at percen for non his Dixeria Tili ate anicy interminey. nonform ego examinari, el vidi oplime diferentiam for quem to objerati a delano M. lappore en el las notari There is thenders; to observe greats reliques preises at wing Dein nume Jobean novem geny conder, observe forcion, moder flerendigec.

repl. 1748 6:13 fest.

Fig. 22. Letter by Carl Linnaeus to Albrecht von Haller, dated Upsala, 13 September 1748. Shown here is the first of two and one-half pages, with final date-line added.

contentions that existed between the two men, modern opinions more often are in agreement with those of von Haller than with Linnaeus.

The letter here reported is of interest for many observations of Linnaeus, covering such a wide range of topics as mosses, pearl culture, flat-worm morphology, and an appraisal of some generic names of plants in a paper by John Mitchell (d. 1768) in *Acta naturae curiosorum* (8, Appendix: 187-224. 1758). But of equal interest is Linnaeus' effort to placate the angry von Haller without here conceding any errors on his own part, writing—

Our great example, [Herman] Boerhaave, answered nobody whatever. I recollect his saying to me one day, "You should never reply to any controversial writers. Promise me that you will not." I promised him accordingly, and have benefited very much by it.

It is in this letter also that Linnaeus wrote his frequently quoted observation—

If what we do be correct or otherwise, so it will remain, though we fight for it with our lives. After we are dead and gone, children who now play in the streets, will be our judges.

In this letter Linnaeus responds to von Haller's earlier report of having explained to one named Hamberger the differences between his (von Haller's) classification of some grasses and that of Linnaeus (which had been defended by Hamberger). In this reply, Linnaeus urged von Haller "to write no answers to Hamberger...he is not on a level with you; and moreover, he is your inferior." Hamberger, little-known to modern botanists (he published only two, now rare, tracts in botany), was Georg Erhard Hamberger (1697-1755), a physician and (in later years) professor of botany at the University of Jena. He was perhaps best known to contemporaries for his drawn-out dispute in the press with von Haller, which was so intense and vitriolic as to have created a scandal in academic circles of that time; one for which he recanted completely on his deathbed, then acknowledging von Haller to have been correct, and himself a victim of false pride.

In the preparation of this note I have had the assistance of Rev. Leopold Krul, O.S.B., Chairman of the Latin Department, St. Vincent College, who drafted a new English translation of this Linnaean letter, and of Willem D. Margadant of this staff. Dr. H. W. Rickett, of the New York Botanical Garden, kindly brought to my attention the earlier publication of the English translation by J. E. Smith. To each of these I express my thanks.